

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/866,744 04/10/92 USUI	M 920276/LH	
	EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & WOODWARD 600 THIRD AVENUE, 30TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016	HIN, J	
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2615	4
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.  COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	DATE MAILED: 01	/11/93
This application has been examined  Responsive to communication filed on  A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned.	30	his action is made final.
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(8) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	55 0.5.0. 133	
1. Notice of Art Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant: PTO-1449. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant: PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.	tent Drawing, PTO-94 prmal Patent Applicati	8 lon, Form PTQ-152. * ·
Pert II SUMMARY OF ACTION		<del></del>
1. A Cialms / — 2 0		
	are	pending in the application.
Of the above, claims		drawn from consideration.
2. Claims		eve been cancelled.
8. Claims	ar	e allowed.
4. Claims	er	e rejected.
8. Claims	er	e objected to.
a. Claims: 1-30 are a	ublect to restriction o	r election requirement
7.  This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37.C.F.R. 1.85 which are ac		
8.  Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.		:
_	Under 37 C.F.R.	1.84 these drawings
The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed onexaminer.  disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).		approved by the
11.   The proposed drawing correction, filed on, has been approve	d. D disapproved (	Bee explanation)
Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C119. The certified copy has	han man	
been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;	LLY USEN PECEIVED	□ not been received =
<ol> <li>Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>	, prosecution es to the	merits is closed in
14. D Other		

Serial No. 866,744
Art Unit 2615

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The present invention as presented in the following groups a. Claims 1 to 12; b. claims 13-15; c. claims 16-18 and 30; d. claims 19 and 20; e. claims 21-22; f. claims 23-27; g. claim 28; and h. claim 29 are directed to different species as shown in Figs. 3, 8, 15, 18 and 21.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 23 and 26 are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Serial No. 866,744

Art Unit 2615

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jick Chin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4756.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

J. Chin:st

Jick Chin

January 03, 1993

PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2600